



BROWN TO GREEN:

THE G20 TRANSITION TO A LOW-CARBON ECONOMY | 2017

TURKEY

This country profile assesses Turkey's past, present – and indications of future – performance towards a low-carbon economy by evaluating emissions, climate policy performance, climate finance and decarbonisation. The profile summarises the findings of several studies by renowned institutions.



HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX¹

0.77



0.70

G20 average

Source: UNDP, 2016

GDP PER CAPITA² (\$ (const. 2011, international))

19,460

Turkey



18,373

G20 average

Source: WB databank, 2017

SHARE OF GLOBAL GDP²

1.3%



Global GDP

Turkey

Source: WB databank, 2017

GHG EMISSIONS PER CAPITA³ (tCO₂ e/cap)

5.4

Turkey




8.3

G20 average


Source: PRIMAP-hist, 2017

NOTRE DAME GLOBAL ADAPTATION INITIATIVE (ND-GAIN) INDEX⁴



Source: ND-GAIN, 2015

AIR POLLUTION INDEX⁵ (PM 2.5)



Source: WB databank, 2017


SHARE OF GLOBAL GHG EMISSIONS³

0.8%



Turkey

Source: PRIMAP-hist, 2017



This country profile is part of the **Brown to Green 2017** report.

The full report and other G20 country profiles can be downloaded at:

<http://www.climate-transparency.org/g20-climate-performance/g20report2017>

CONTENT


GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG) EMISSIONS DEVELOPMENT2	FINANCING THE TRANSITION4	DECARBONISATION7
CLIMATE POLICY PERFORMANCE3	INVESTMENTS.....4	SECTOR-SPECIFIC INDICATORS.....7
POLICY EVALUATION.....3	Investment attractiveness.....4	ENERGY MIX.....8
CCPI EXPERTS' POLICY EVALUATION.....3	Green Bonds.....5	SHARE OF COAL IN ENERGY SUPPLY.....8
REGULATORY INDICATORS FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY (RISE) INDEX.....3	Emissions of new investments in the power sector.....5	SHARE OF RENEWABLES IN ENERGY SUPPLY.....8
COMPATIBILITY OF CLIMATE TARGETS WITH A 2°C SCENARIO.....4	FISCAL POLICIES.....5	ENERGY USE PER CAPITA.....9
	Fossil fuel subsidies (for production and consumption).....5	ENERGY INTENSITY OF THE ECONOMY.....9
	Effective carbon rate.....5	CARBON INTENSITY OF THE ENERGY SECTOR.....10
	PROVISION OF INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC SUPPORT.....6	Annex.....11
	Pledge to the Green Climate Fund (GCF).....6	
	Contributions through the major multilateral climate funds.....6	
	Bilateral climate finance contributions.....6	
	Climate finance contributions through Multilateral Development Banks (MDBs).....6	
	Future climate finance commitments.....6	

TURKEY




GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG) EMISSIONS DEVELOPMENT

Total emissions across sectors (MtCO₂e/year)



*Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry emissions according to the Climate Action Tracker
Source: PRIMAP, 2017; CAT, 2017

CCPI PERFORMANCE RATING OF GHG EMISSIONS PER CAPITA⁷



Source: CCPI 2017 – G20 Edition

While Turkey's emissions (excl. LULUCF) increased at a relatively low pace over recent decades, projections show a doubling of emissions by 2030. LULUCF* sector behaves as a sink of emissions (with negative values).⁶




TURKEY



CLIMATE POLICY PERFORMANCE

POLICY EVALUATION ⁸



Climate Transparency evaluates sectoral policies and rates them whether they are in line with the Paris Agreement temperature goal. For more detail, see Annex.


a) Share of renewables in the power sector (2014): 21%
b) Share of coal in total primary energy supply (2014): 31%
c) Forest area compared to 1990 levels (2014): 121%

Source: own evaluation

CCPI EXPERTS' POLICY EVALUATION ⁹


Turkey's climate policy performance remains at a very low level. Although Turkey established regulations on e.g. energy efficiency, experts cannot observe any real progress and they criticise those

policies for not including any targets. National experts remark that the funding of most projects aiming at climate protection comes from international institutions rather than national budgets.



— Evaluation of international climate policy
— Evaluation of national climate policy

CCPI EVALUATION OF CLIMATE POLICY (2017)




Source: CCPI 2017 – G20 Edition

REGULATORY INDICATORS FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY (RISE) INDEX

RISE scores reflect a snapshot of a country's policies and regulations in the energy sector. Here Climate Transparency shows the RISE evaluation for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency.


Source: RISE index, 2017



TURKEY



CLIMATE POLICY PERFORMANCE

COMPATIBILITY OF CLIMATE TARGETS WITH A 2°C SCENARIO¹⁰

The Climate Action Tracker rates Turkey's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC), "inadequate" – a lack of ambition that, if followed by all other countries, would lead to global warming exceeding 3–4°C by 2100. Turkey's ongoing investment in expanding coal power stands in strong contrast to the need to fully decarbonise the power sector by 2050. Turkey submitted its INDC in 2015, with an emissions reduction target (including LULUCF*) of up to 21% below business as usual (BAU) in 2030. Excluding LULUCF* emissions, the INDC target is equivalent to a 348% increase from 1990 levels, or a 97% increase from 2012 levels. As of 13 June 2017 Turkey has not yet ratified the Paris Agreement.

CLIMATE ACTION TRACKER EVALUATION OF NATIONAL PLEDGES, TARGETS AND NDC¹⁰

Source: CAT, 2017

TURKEY



FINANCING THE TRANSITION

INVESTMENTS

INVESTMENT ATTRACTIVENESS

In 2016 Turkey increased its solar PV capacity (mostly in the form of small-scale installations) and maintained relatively high installations for wind energy. Despite awarding a 1 GW tender for large-scale solar PV in early 2017 and further tenders in the pipeline, uncertainties remain about the sustained support for renewables – notably due to fresh subsidies to the coal industry (Allianz, 2017).

ALLIANZ CLIMATE AND ENERGY MONITOR¹¹

Source: Allianz, 2017; EY, 2017

RENEWABLE ENERGY COUNTRY ATTRACTIVENESS INDEX (RECAI)¹²

TREND



TURKEY



FINANCING THE TRANSITION

GREEN BONDS

Green bonds are bonds that earmark proceeds for climate or environmental projects and have been labelled as 'green' by the issuer.¹³



GREEN BONDS AS SHARE OF OVERALL DEBT

0.00%

G20 average: 0.16%


TOTAL VALUE OF GREEN BONDS

0.0 billion US\$₂₀₁₇

Source: Calculations done by Climate Bonds Initiative for Climate Transparency, 2017

EMISSIONS OF NEW INVESTMENTS IN THE POWER SECTOR

This indicator shows the emissions per MWh coming from newly-installed capacity in 2016. The smaller the value, the more decarbonised the new installed capacity.




Source: Calculations done by IDDRI for Climate Transparency, 2017

FISCAL POLICIES

FOSSIL FUEL SUBSIDIES (FOR PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION)¹⁴

While Turkey has progressed in energy sector reform since 2001, support for fossil fuel consumption and production has increased. Since 2010, Turkey has boosted funding to oil, natural gas and coal exploration, to reduce its dependence on foreign fuel. The state-owned coal company has supplied coal in-kind to poor households since 2003, the cost of which has increased with surging energy demand and the widening programme reach, amounting to almost US\$ 330 million in 2014. In addition to the OECD government subsidies data, other sources report up to US\$ 1.3 billion of support to fossil fuels from public finance institutions per year between 2013-14. In its G20 report on fossil fuel subsidies, Turkey outlined plans to remove inefficient production subsidies by rehabilitating the state-owned coal company, reducing employment and losses, and increasing productivity.



Source: Calculations done by ODI based on OECD inventory, 2017; Doukas, Acar and Whitley, 2015

EFFECTIVE CARBON RATE¹⁶

In 2012, effective carbon rates in Turkey consisted entirely of specific taxes on energy use. Turkey did not have an explicit carbon tax or an emissions trading system. Turkey priced 68% of carbon emissions from energy use, and 19% were priced above €30/tCO₂ (~US\$ 37). The majority of these emissions were from the road sector.¹⁷

EFFECTIVE CARBON RATE IN 2012¹⁷

for non-road energy, excluding biomass emissions

10.0 US\$/tCO₂

Source: OECD, 2016



TURKEY



FINANCING THE TRANSITION

PROVISION OF INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC SUPPORT

Turkey is not listed in Annex II of the UNFCCC, and it is therefore not formally obliged to provide climate finance. While there may be climate-related contributions through bilateral or multilateral development banks, these have not been included in this report.



PLEDGE TO THE GREEN CLIMATE FUND (GCF)

Obligation to provide climate finance under the UNFCCC	Signed pledge to the GCF (Million US\$)	Pledge per 1000 dollars of GDP (US\$ ₂₀₁₁ (constant))
no	n/a	n/a

Source: GCF, 2017

CONTRIBUTIONS THROUGH THE MAJOR MULTILATERAL CLIMATE FUNDS¹⁸

Annual average contribution 2013-2014 (Billion US\$)	Annual average contribution 2013-2014 per 1000 dollars of GDP (Billion US\$)	Adaptation	Mitigation
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Source: Climate Funds Update, 2017

BILATERAL CLIMATE FINANCE CONTRIBUTIONS¹⁹

Bilateral finance commitments (annual average 2013-14) (Billion US\$)	Bilateral finance commitments per 1000 dollars of GDP (annual average 2013-14) (Billion US\$)	Financial instrument (average 2013-2014)				
		Grant	Concessional Loan	Non-Concessional loan	Equity	Other
		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Theme of support (average 2013-14)				
		Mitigation	Adaptation	Cross-cutting	Other	
		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Source: Party reporting to the UNFCCC, 2013-14

CLIMATE FINANCE CONTRIBUTIONS THROUGH MULTILATERAL DEVELOPMENT BANKS (MDBs)²⁰

MDBs in aggregate spent \$21.2 billion on mitigation and \$4.5 billion on adaptation in developing countries in 2014.

No national disaggregation available

Source: MDB report, 2015

FUTURE CLIMATE FINANCE COMMITMENTS

Source: "Roadmap to US\$100 Billion" report, 2016.



TURKEY




DECARBONISATION

SECTOR-SPECIFIC INDICATORS


POWER SECTOR

ELECTRICITY DEMAND
PER CAPITA
(kWh/capita)




Data from 2014
Source: CAT, 2016

EMISSIONS INTENSITY
OF THE POWER SECTOR
(gCO₂/kWh)



Data from 2014
Source: CAT, 2016

SHARE OF RENEWABLES
IN POWER GENERATION
(incl. large hydro)



Data from 2015
Source: CAT, 2016

SHARE OF POPULATION
WITH ACCESS TO ELECTRICITY



Data from 2016
Source: IEA, 2016

SHARE OF POPULATION
WITH BIOMASS
DEPENDENCY



Data from 2014
Source: IEA, 2016


TRANSPORT SECTOR

TRANSPORT EMISSIONS
PER CAPITA
(tCO₂e/capita)




Data from 2014
Source: IEA, 2016

TRANSPORT EMISSIONS
INTENSITY
(kgCO₂/vkm)



Data from 2010
Source: CAT, 2016

SHARE OF PRIVATE CARS
AND MOTORCYCLES




Data from 2010
Source: CAT, 2016

SHARE OF GLOBAL ELECTRIC
VEHICLE SALES
(%)



INDUSTRY SECTOR

INDUSTRY EMISSIONS
INTENSITY
(tCO₂/thousand US\$2012
sectoral GDP (PPP))



Data from 2014
Source: CAT, 2016

BUILDING SECTOR

BUILDING EMISSIONS
PER CAPITA
(tCO₂/capita)



Data from 2014
Source: CAT, 2016

RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS
EMISSIONS INTENSITY
(kgCO₂/m²)



Data from 2010
Source: CAT, 2016

RESIDENTIAL BUILDING
SPACE
(m²/capita)



Data from 2010
Source: CAT, 2016

AGRICULTURE SECTOR


AGRICULTURE EMISSIONS
INTENSITY
(tCO₂e/thousand US\$2010
sectoral GDP (constant))



Data from 2014
Source: PRIMAP, 2017; WorldBank, 2017

FOREST SECTOR

FOREST AREA
COMPARED TO 1990 LEVEL



Data from 2015
Source: CAT, 2016


TURKEY




DECARBONISATION

ENERGY MIX²¹

Total Primary Energy Supply (PJ)



Share in 2014




Source: IEA, 2016
Note: numbers might not add up to 100% due to exclusion of residential biomass from the share of renewables.

SHARE OF COAL IN ENERGY SUPPLY²²

Turkey has the G20's 6th largest share of coal in its energy supply (31% in 2014).

Share of coal (%)



Source: IEA, 2016

PERFORMANCE RATING




Source: own evaluation

SHARE OF RENEWABLES IN ENERGY SUPPLY²³


There have been peak-to-valley fluctuations in the share of renewables in Turkey's energy mix. For most recent years, the share has been slightly above the G20 average.

Share of renewables (incl. hydro and excl. residential biomass) (%)



Source: IEA, 2016

CCPI PERFORMANCE RATING OF THE SHARE OF RENEWABLES⁷



Source: CCPI 2017 – G20 Edition




TURKEY



DECARBONISATION

ENERGY USE PER CAPITA²⁴



In Turkey, the overall energy use per capita is still at a relatively low level compared to other G20 countries, despite a distinct upward trend since 1990.


Source: IEA, 2016

CCPI PERFORMANCE RATING OF ENERGY USE PER CAPITA⁷



Source: CCPI 2017 – G20 Edition

ENERGY INTENSITY OF THE ECONOMY²⁵



The energy intensity of Turkey's economy has remained relatively stable over the past decades and it remains below the G20 average.

Source: IEA, 2016

PERFORMANCE RATING



Source: own evaluation




TURKEY



DECARBONISATION

CARBON INTENSITY OF THE ENERGY SECTOR ²⁶



Source: IEA, 2016

PERFORMANCE RATING



RECENT DEVELOPMENTS (2009-2014)

CURRENT LEVEL (2014)

Source: own evaluation

Turkey's CO₂ emissions per total primary energy supply showed a slight but steady increase until a peak in 2008/2009, and a small decrease ever since to values slightly above the G20 average.

ANNEX

G20



KEY INDICATORS

- 1) The Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index published by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). It is a summary measure of average achievement in key dimensions of human development. A country scores higher when the lifespan is higher, the education level is higher, and GDP per capita is higher. Data for 2016.
- 2) Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita is calculated by dividing GDP with midyear population figures. GDP is the value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given year. Here GDP figures at purchasing power parity (PPP) are used. Data for 2015.
- 3) PRIMAP-hist combines several published datasets to create a comprehensive set of greenhouse gas emissions pathways for every country and Kyoto gas covering the years 1850 to 2014 and all UNFCCC member states as well as most non-UNFCCC territories. The data resolves the main IPCC 1996 categories. Data for 2014.
- 4) The ND-GAIN index summarizes a country's vulnerability to climate change and other global challenges in combination with its readiness to improve resilience. It is composed of a vulnerability score and a readiness score. In this report, we display the vulnerability score, which measures a country's exposure and sensitivity to the negative impact of climate change in six life-supporting sectors – food, water, health, ecosystem service, human habitat and infrastructure. In this report, we only display the vulnerability score of the index. Data for 2015.
- 5) Average level of exposure of a nation's population to concentrations of suspended particles measuring less than 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter, which are capable of penetrating deep into the respiratory tract and causing severe health damage. Data for 2015.

GREENHOUSE EMISSIONS (GHG)

- 6) This indicator gives an overview of the country's emissions profile and the direction the country's emissions are taking under current policy scenario.
- 7) The Climate Change Performance Index (CCPI) aims to enhance transparency in international climate politics. On the basis of standardised criteria, the index evaluates and compares the climate protection performance of countries in the categories GHG emissions, renewable energy and energy use. It assesses the recent developments, current levels, policy progress and the compatibility of the country's current performance and future targets with the international goal of limiting global temperature rise well below 2°C.

CLIMATE POLICY PERFORMANCE:

- 8) The table below displays the criteria used to assess a country's policy performance. For the sector-specific policy criteria the 'high' rating is informed by the Climate Action Tracker (2016) report on the ten steps needed to limit warming to 1.5°C and the Paris Agreement.
- 9) The CCPI evaluates a country's performance in national climate policy, meaning the performance in establishing and implementing a sufficient policy framework, as well as international climate diplomacy through feedback from national climate and energy experts.
- 10) The Climate Action Tracker is an independent, science-based assessment that tracks government emissions reduction commitments and actions. It provides an up-to-date assessment of individual national pledges, targets and NDCs and currently implemented policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

FINANCING THE TRANSITION

- 11) The Allianz Climate and Energy Monitor ranks G20 member states on their relative fitness as potential investment destinations for building low-carbon electricity infrastructure. The investment attractiveness of a country is assessed through four categories: policy adequacy, policy reliability of sustained support, market absorption capacity and the national investment conditions.
- 12) The Renewable Energy Country Attractiveness Index (RECAI) produces scores and rankings for countries' attractiveness based on macro drivers, energy market drivers and technology-specific drivers which, together, compress a set of 5 drivers, 16 parameters and over 50 datasets. For comparability purposes with the Allianz Monitor index, we divided the G20 members included in the latest RECAI ranking (May 2017) in two categories and rate the top half as "high performance" and the lower half as "medium performance".
- 13) The green bonds country indicator shows which countries are active in the green bond market by showing green bonds per country as a percentage of the overall debt securities market for that country. Green bonds were created to fund projects that have positive environmental and/or climate benefits.
- 14) The data presented is from the OECD inventory: www.oecd.org/site/tadffss/ except for Argentina and Saudi Arabia for which data from the IEA subsidies database is used. The IEA uses a different methodology for calculating subsidies than the OECD. It uses a 'price-gap' approach and covers a sub-set of consumer subsidies. The price-gap approach compares average end-user prices paid by consumers with reference prices that corresponds to the full cost of supply.

To endnote 8) Rating

	Criteria description		
	● Low	● Medium	● High
Long term low emissions development strategy	No long term low emissions strategy	Existing long term low emissions strategy	Long-term low emissions strategy submitted to the UNFCCC in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 19, of the Paris Agreement
GHG emissions target for 2050	No emissions reduction target for 2050 (or beyond)	Existing emissions reduction target for 2050 (or beyond)	Emissions reduction target to bring CO ₂ emissions to at least net zero by 2050
Renewable energy in power sector	No policy or support scheme for renewable energy in place	Support scheme for renewables in the power sector in place	Support scheme and target for 100% renewables in the power sector by 2050 in place
Coal phase-out	No consideration or policy in place for phasing out coal	Significant action to reduce coal use implemented or coal phase-out under consideration	Coal phase-out in place
Efficient light duty vehicles	No policy or emissions performance standards for LDVs in place	Energy/emissions performance standards or support for LDVs	National target to phase out fossil fuel cars in place
Efficient residential buildings	No policy or low-emissions building codes and standards in place	Building codes, standards and fiscal/financial incentives for low-emissions options in place	National strategy for near-zero energy buildings (at least for all new buildings)
Energy efficiency in industry sector	No policy or support for energy efficiency in industrial production in place	Support for energy efficiency in industrial production (covering at least two of the country's subsectors (e.g. cement and steel production))	Target for new installations in emissions-intensive sectors to be low-carbon after 2020, maximising efficiency
Reducing deforestation	No policy or incentive to reduce deforestation in place	Incentives to reduce deforestation or support schemes for afforestation /reforestation in place	National target for reaching zero deforestation by 2020s

ANNEX (continued)

G20



- 15) This footnote had to be deleted as the data for the corresponding indicator was not available at the time of publication of this report.
- 16) In addition to carbon pricing mechanisms, emissions trading schemes and various energy taxes also act as prices on carbon, although they are generally not developed with the aim of reducing emissions. The OECD report presents calculations on 'Effective Carbon Rates' as the sum of carbon taxes, specific taxes on energy use, and tradable emission permit prices. The calculations are based on 2012 energy policies and prices, as covered in OECD's Taxing Energy Use database. According to OECD estimates, to tackle climate change emissions should be priced at least EUR 30 (or US\$ 37) per tonne of CO₂ revealing a major 'carbon pricing gap' within the G20.
- 17) The effective carbon rate presented in this country profile does not factor in emissions from biomass, as many countries and the UNFCCC treat them as carbon-neutral. However, in many cases biomass emissions are found to be non-carbon neutral over their lifecycle, especially due to the land use changes they cause.
- 18) Finance delivered through multilateral climate funds comes from Climate Funds Update, a joint ODI/Heinrich Boell Foundation database that tracks spending through major multilateral climate funds. Figures include: Adaptation for Smallholder Agriculture Programme; Adaptation Fund; Clean Technology Fund; Forest Carbon Partnership Facility; Forest Investment Program; Global Environment Facility (5th and 6th Replenishment, Climate Focal Area only); Least Developed Countries Fund; Partnership for Market Readiness; Pilot Program for Climate Resilience; Scaling-up Renewable Energy Program; and the Special Climate Change Fund.
- 19) Bilateral finance commitments are sourced from Party reporting to the UNFCCC under the Common Tabular Format. Figures represent commitments of funds to projects or programmes, as opposed to actual disbursements.
- 20) Data for the MDB spending on climate action includes ADB, AfDB, EBRD, EIB, IDB, IFC and the World Bank. Data is self-reported annually by the MDBs, based on a shared methodology they developed. The reported data includes MDBs own resources and expenditure in EU13, not funding from external sources that are channelled through the MDBs (e.g through bilateral donors and dedicated climate funds that are captured elsewhere). Data reported corresponds to the financing of adaptation or mitigation projects or of those components, sub-components, or elements within projects that provide adaptation or mitigation benefits (rather than the entire project cost). It does not include public or private finance mobilised by MDBs.

■ DECARBONISATION

- 21) Total primary energy supply data displayed in this factsheet does not include non-energy use values.
- 22) The share of coal in total primary energy supply reveals the country's historical and current proportion of coal in the energy mix. As coal is one of the dirtiest of fossil fuels, reducing coal's share in its energy mix is a crucial step for a country's transition to a green economy.
- 23) The share of renewable energy in total primary energy supply shows a country's historical and current proportion of renewables in the energy mix. The numbers displayed in the graph do not include residential biomass and waste values. Replacing fossil fuels and promoting the expansion of renewable energy is an important step for reducing emissions.
- 24) TPES per capita displays the historical, current and projected energy supply in relation to a country's population. Alongside the intensity indicators (TPES/GDP and CO₂/TPES), TPES per capita gives an indication on the energy efficiency of a country's economy. In line with a well-below 2°C limits, TPES/capita should not grow above current global average levels. This means that developing countries are still allowed to expand their energy use to the current global average, while developed countries have to simultaneously reduce it to that same number.
- 25) TPES per GDP describes the energy intensity of a country's economy. This indicator illustrates the efficiency of energy usage by calculating the energy needed to produce one unit of GDP. A decrease in this indicator can mean an increase in efficiency but also reflects structural economic changes.
- 26) This indicator describes the carbon intensity of a country's energy sector (expressed as the CO₂ emissions per unit of total primary energy supply) and gives an indication on the share of fossil fuels in the energy supply.

For more detail on the sources and methodologies behind the calculation of the indicators displayed, please download the Technical Note at:

<http://www.climate-transparency.org/g20-climate-performance/g20report2017>